

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 820 MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402-3813

TELEPHONE 612 339-7461 FACSIMILE 612 349-6556







December 8, 2004

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 3722

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.

10/758,627

Confirmation No. 6111

Applicant Filed

Donato L. Ricci January 15, 2004

TC/A.U.

3722

Examiner

Fridie Jr., Willmon

Our Docket No.

20030153.ORI

Customer No.

23595

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Request for Reconsideration in response to the Final Office Action dated November 30, 2004 in the above-identified patent application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees listed in 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-1265.

No additional fee is required.

Yours very truly,

NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.

Thomas J. Nikola

TJN/ljr Enclosures DEC 13 2004 E

Serial No. 10/758,627

Confirmation No. 6111

Applicant Filed

Donato L. Ricci January 15, 2004

TC/A.U.

3722

Examiner

Fridie Jr., Willmon

December 8, 2004

Our Docket No.

20030153.ORI

Customer No.

23595

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

This Request for Reconsideration in submitted in response to the Final Official Action dated November 30, 2004. In that Action, the Examiner continues to reject claims 1-5 and 10-12 under the judicially-created Doctrine of Double Patenting over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent 6,447,220. This rejection is respectfully traversed for the reasons already presented in the "Remarks/Arguments" accompanying applicants' amendment of September 10, 2004. In that there is no disclosure in the '220 patent of the presently claimed "second drive means" as defined in element (e) of independent claim 1 and element (c) of independent claim 10, applicants could not have claimed the same subject matter in the '220 patent as is now being claimed in the present application. The Examiner is respectfully requested to identify where in the '220 patent there is any teaching or suggestion of:

"a second drive means including control means for selectively either longitudinally translating the cutting head member axially along the boring bar member while inhibiting radial movement of slide and tool bit or radially translating the slide and tool bit of the annular cutting head member with respect to the longitudinal axis of the boring bar member while inhibiting axial translation of the cutting head member"